To: CN=Paul Simon/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]

Cc: [] Bcc: []

From: CN=Douglas Fischer/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Sat 7/3/2010 1:55:51 PM Subject: Re: Peer Review Schedule

In addition to telling Sheri that we'd made a decision re: panel review of GE's model, I also told her that EPA would be instructing SRA that EPA will not agree to extend the peer review process so that the panel could review GE's model. See my May 11, 2:24 pm email, which I'll forward after this message.

Regarding Bruce's comment about the atmosphere, he doesn't mention that GE sent its modeling work to the panel basically in defiance of what we told them.

Douglas Fischer
Assistant Regional Counsel
New York/Caribbean Superfund Branch
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2
290 Broadway, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10007-1866
212/637-3180 (voice)
212/637-3104 (fax)

-----Paul Simon/R2/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: "Adler, Bruce (GE, Corporate)" < Bruce. Adler@ge.com >, Walter Mugdan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA

From: Paul Simon/R2/USEPA/US Date: 07/02/2010 08:43PM

Subject: Re: Peer Review Schedule

Bruce - Doug Fischer gave Sheri our clear and definite decision, and the rationale for it, on May 11th, prior to Cathy Moyik's email to SRA. So Sheri did know we made this decision. Did GE think that while we were giving the company our decision on the issue, we weren't going to convey that decision to our contractor, SRA, so as to close the loop on the question that was left hanging at the end of the peer review meeting and that the panel needed to know the answer to in order to schedule the completion of its work? I just can't fathom that.

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

From: "Adler, Bruce (GE, Corporate)" [Bruce.Adler@ge.com]

Sent: 07/02/2010 07:01 PM AST

To: Walter Mugdan Cc: Paul Simon

Subject: Re: Peer Review Schedule

Uh, guys: Did you notice that we are not copied on this e-mail, and therefore had no way of knowing that you had reached this decision or so informed the panel? I spoke with Sheri and John H, and neither of them recall seeing a letter. I am going to re-inquire about this e-mail, but I think you can imagine our reaction if we never saw it or were otherwise not informed. I actually hope that's not the case, because I truly think it could poison the atmosphere.

Paul: I know Walter is on his way out of town. If you want to give me a call at anytime, my home # is 203-226-4426. My cell is 203-615-1330.

Sent using BlackBerry

From: Mugdan.Walter@epamail.epa.gov < Mugdan.Walter@epamail.epa.gov >

To: Adler, Bruce (GE, Corporate)

Cc: simon.paul@epa.gov <simon.paul@epa.gov>

Sent: Fri Jul 02 17:10:42 2010 Subject: Fw: Peer Review Schedule

Bruce, here's a copy of the email I mentioned:

From: Cathy Moyik/R2/USEPA/US

To: "Garon, Stephen" < Stephen_Garon@sra.com>

Cc: Douglas Fischer/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Marc Greenberg/ERT/R2/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/11/2010 05:01 PM Subject: Peer Review Schedule

Hi Steve,

EPA and GE did not reach agreement regarding an extension of the peer review schedule. Accordingly, the peer review process will not be extended to provide additional time for the panel to consider GE's forthcoming model. However, it is OK with EPA if the panel believes it needs an additional week or two to complete its review; please let me know if the panel would like the additional time.

I would like to schedule a call with you to discuss this further and some other items. What is your schedule like tomorrow?

Thanks! Cathy

Cathy Romano (Moyik) (212) 637-4339